

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 ROBERT VALLADON, et al.,

No. C 06-07478 SI

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER RE: DISCOVERY**

10 v.

11 CITY OF OAKLAND,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/  
14

15 Plaintiffs have filed a request for an order directing defendant to comply with Local Rule 30-1  
16 when scheduling plaintiffs' depositions. Defendant has filed a related request for an order dismissing  
17 24 plaintiffs who have failed to appear for their depositions or, alternatively, for an order requiring these  
18 plaintiffs to appear for depositions within two weeks. The Court hereby orders all parties to comply  
19 with Local Rule 30-1 before noticing a covered deposition, and also orders all depositions to begin  
20 immediately and proceed as expeditiously as possible. Any deposition notice served without  
21 compliance with the local rules shall be withdrawn and the depositions re-noticed in accordance with  
22 Rule 30-1.


23 Defendant also asks the Court to order the remaining 124 plaintiffs to provide written discovery  
24 responses by August 1, 2008. Plaintiffs have already received extensions of time in which to provide  
25 these responses, and the Court orders plaintiffs to provide the written responses of at least 62  
26  
27

28 ///

representatives by August 1, 2008. Plaintiffs must provide the responses of the remaining 62 representatives by August 15, 2008.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 9, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge